

512,061

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
20 November 2003 (20.11.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/096632 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **H04L 12/56**

(21) International Application Number: PCT/IB02/01605

(22) International Filing Date: 8 May 2002 (08.05.2002)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **NOKIA CORPORATION** [FI/FI]; Keilalahdentie 4, FIN-02150 Espoo (FI).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): **LAKKAKO-RPI, Jani** [FI/FI]; Hakolahdentie 3 A 3, FIN-00200 Helsinki (FI). **TANG, Haitao** [CN/FI]; Kytsuontie 9 as 1, FIN-00300 Helsinki (FI).

(74) Agent: **UNGERER, Olaf**; Eisenführ, Speiser & Partner, Arnulfstr. 25, 80335 Munich (DE).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.


(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: DISTRIBUTION SCHEME FOR DISTRIBUTING INFORMATION IN A NETWORK



(57) **Abstract:** The present invention relates to a method and network node for distributing a network parameter information among network nodes of a transmission network. A spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to other nodes is determined based on a topology information of the transmission network, and is used to distribute the network parameter information from the network node to the other network nodes. Thereby, the updating message or packet traffic can be reduced dramatically as compared to the conventional flooding scheme.

WO 03/096632 A1

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Distribution Scheme for Distributing Information in a Network

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method and network node for distributing a network parameter information, which may consist of link utilization, node utilization, Macro Diversity Combining (MDC) load, and any other Quality of Service (QoS) related parameters associated to a given network node, among network nodes of a transmission network such as a radio access network for providing a connection to an Internet Protocol (IP) based a core network. In particular, the distributed network parameter information may be used by a procedure for selecting an MDC point in the radio access network (RAN).

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

In a Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) based cellular network all users in the same cell or in different cells may share the same frequency spectrum simultaneously. In spread spectrum transmission, the interference tolerance enables universal frequency reuse. This enables new functions such as soft handover, but also causes strict requirements on power control. Due to the universal frequency reuse, the connection of a radio terminal, e.g. a mobile terminal, mobile station or user equipment to the cellular network can include several radio links. When the radio terminal is connected through more than one radio link, it is said to be in soft handover. If, in particular, the radio terminal has more than one radio link to two cells on the same side, it is in softer handover. Soft handover is a form of diversity, increasing the signal-to-noise ratio when the transmission power is constant.

At network level, soft handover smoothes the movement of a mobile terminal from one cell to another. It helps to minimize the transmission power needed in both uplink and downlink.

Thus, a radio terminal of a network subscriber can transmit the same information on a plurality of redundant transmission parts that are set up parallel via a radio transmission interface from the cellular network to the radio terminal or from the radio terminal to the cellular network in order to achieve an optimal transmission quality. Such a transmission structure is called macrodiversity. The redundant transmission paths can be dynamically set up and cleared down while the radio terminal changes its location. The information sent out by the radio terminal in the

transmission frames on various transmission paths can be merged in the transmission network at combination points at which respectively two transmission paths are combined into a single transmission path in one transmission direction (uplink) and the single transmission path is divided into two transmission paths in the other transmission direction (downlink). A corresponding network architecture is described for example in the US 6,198,737 B1.

In order to obtain the most efficient RAN architecture, which is based on using the advantageous characteristics of IP, some functionality is relocated between network elements. According to a recent new architecture, a network element, known as Base Station Controller (BSC) or Radio Network Controller (RNC) is no longer required, although this functionality must remain in the RAN architecture. Therefore, a location of a combining point, e.g. MDC point, can no longer be centralized for all base stations in the RAN. Consequently, some RNC functionality has been transferred to the base stations in order to enable soft handover and associated signaling to happen along the shortest path, producing minimum delay and signaling load to those paths of the network where this is not necessary. This new RAN architecture is described e.g. in the White Paper "IP-RAN, IP-the future of mobility", Nokia Networks, 2000.

In such a new RAN architecture, the MDC point can be selected dynamically e.g. by a serving base station instead of having this functionality in one pre-selected point like the RNC in the conventional RAN architecture or in the base station that initiates the call. In the new RAN architecture, base stations are able to act as MDC points.

However, in order to select the MDC point dynamically, the corresponding network node or entity needs up-to-date information of the RAN network, e.g. its parameters such as link state, link utilization, node utilization, MDC load, and any other QoS-related parameters. When any change of a parameter happens, the change parameter needs to be distributed among the network nodes or entities. In addition, any change of a parameter will invoke a tide of messages or packets in the network for keeping all network nodes updated. If the known flooding scheme as described e.g. in J. Moy, "OSPF Version 2", IETF specification RFC1583, 1994 is used, a parameter change can, in the worst case, invoke as many messages or packets as twice the total number of links in the RAN. Thus, updating traffic may become a source of network congestion itself. Furthermore, the flooding scheme is not scalable with an increase of the dimension of the RAN. Given the on-changing

nature of the RAN, a scalable and efficient distribution scheme is therefore required for this purpose.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a method and network node for distributing a network parameter information, by means of which a more efficient and scalable distribution can be provided.

This object is achieved by a method of distributing a network parameter information among network nodes of a transmission network, said method comprising the steps of:

- 10 determining based on a topology information of said transmission network a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to other nodes;
detecting a network parameter change in a network node of said transmission network; and
15 distributing said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change from said network node to said other nodes in accordance with said spanning tree.

Furthermore, the above object is achieved by a network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a transmission network,
20 said network node being arranged to detect a change in a network parameter related to said network node, and to distribute said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change towards said other network nodes in response to said detection and in accordance with a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to said other network
25 nodes.

Additionally, the above object is achieved by a network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a transmission network, said network node being arranged to receive a network parameter information from an upper node, to update a stored parameter information according to said
30 received network parameter information, and to distribute said network parameter information to its offspring network nodes based on a branch information included in said network parameter information, said branch information being derived from a spanning tree routing topology.

Accordingly, a distribution scheme for distributing network parameters among network nodes in a much more efficient and scalable way than the flooding approach is provided. The distributed network parameters may be used by a procedure to dynamically select an MDC point in a RAN. As the other nodes get informed about the changed parameters, they can have an up-to-date view of the network e.g. so as to select a MDC point for a given call.

Due to the distribution according to the spanning tree, one parameter change only invokes as many updating messages or packets as a small fraction of the total number of links in the transmission network. Hence, updating messages or packets initiated by a given node only travel through a given spanning tree of the transmission network. Utilizing the topology information of the network, the delivery of the parameter information can be optimized and improved e.g. to avoid the conventional flooding scheme. Thereby, the updating message or packet traffic can be reduced dramatically as compared to the traffic of the flooding scheme under the same condition.

The network parameter information may relate to a QoS related parameter. In particular, the network parameter information may comprise at least one of a link state, a link utilization, a node utilization, and a macro diversity combining load.

The topology information may be derived from at least one routing table, which may be provided for each network node. The routing table may provide a branch information for each offspring node of the network node. The branch information may indicate branches of the concerned offspring node.

Furthermore, the topology information may be derived from a link state database of a routing protocol of the transmission network. Alternatively, the topology information may be obtained by running a flooding scheme and a shortest-path-first algorithm. If the network topology is relatively stable, the overhead caused by running such a flooding scheme can be considered rather small.

Based on the topology information, it can be decided on those parameters to be included in the network parameter information.

Preferably, the network parameter information may comprise an updating information sent to each offspring node. Each updating information may comprise a branch information, a parameter update information and a node identification of

the network node at which said network parameter change has occurred. A received updating information may then be distributed from an offspring node to an offspring node of said offspring node based on said branch information. A parameter information stored at said offspring node can be updated using said updating information.

The network parameter information may be used in an MDC point selection procedure.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the following, the present invention will be described in greater detail based on a preferred embodiment with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of a radio access network topology in which the present invention can be implemented;

Fig. 2 shows a structured topology of the radio access network according to Fig. 1;

Fig. 3 shows a flow diagram indicating basic steps of a distribution procedure according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 4 shows a table of a link state database, indicating the network topology according to Figs. 1 and 2;

Fig. 5 shows a routing table for a distribution scheme according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

Fig. 6 shows a spanning tree for forwarding a parameter information according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The preferred embodiment will now be described on the basis of a new RAN network architecture for providing access to an IP network.

According to Fig. 1, a mobile terminal M is connected to a RAN via two redundant transmission paths indicated by respective dash-dot lines. The RAN architecture

comprises a plurality of network nodes A to E, e.g. IP-based base stations, wherein the shaded nodes D and E are currently connected to the mobile terminal M via the redundant transmission paths. In particular, the network node E indicated with the bold circle is used as the serving base station, i.e. the base station terminating the core network interfaces data stream, and performing Radio Resource Management (RRM) functions like scheduling, power control and the like. In contrast thereto, the other shaded base station D is used as a drift base station providing only resources and radio L1 layer functions for the respective connections to the mobile terminal M.

- 10 In the RAN topology shown in Fig. 1, contrary to conventional RANs, most of the functions of a former centralized controller (e.g. RNC or BSC) are moved to the base stations. In particular, all radio interface protocols are terminated in the base stations. Entities outside the base stations are needed to perform common configuration and some radio resource functions, or interworking with legacy, gateways to a core network, etc. An interface is needed between the base stations, supporting both control plane signaling and user plane traffic. Full connectivity among the entities may be supported over an IPv6 (Internet Protocol Version 6) transport network. Furthermore, a RAN Gateway (RNGW, not shown) may be provided which is the IP user plane access point from the IP-based core network or other RAN to the present RAN. During a radio access bearer assignment procedure, the RAN returns to the core network transport addresses owned by the RNGW where the user plane shall be terminated. Additionally, packet-switched and circuit-switched interfaces are connected through the RNGW.

- 25 In the situation shown in Fig. 1, one network node has to be selected as the MDC point for the connections to the mobile terminal M, e.g. by the serving node E. This selection can be optimized if the required network parameter information is continuously updated based on a distribution scheme.

- 30 According to the preferred embodiment, a distribution scheme is provided for distributing up-to-date information of the whole RAN, e.g. its parameters such as link state, link utilization, node utilization, MDC load, and any other QoS-related parameters, among the network nodes or entities A to E, which may be used e.g. to dynamically select the MDC point. E.g., when any change of a parameter happens in Node A, a corresponding parameter change information is initiated by Node A and distributed among the network nodes B to E. This is achieved by utilizing a

topology information of the network to optimize or improve the delivery of the parameters and to avoid the use of a flooding scheme.

According to the present distribution scheme, the network is denoted as a undirected path $G(N, L)$, where N denotes a set of all nodes in the network, e.g. IP
5 routers or IP base stations A to E in Fig. 1, and $N = \{N1, N2, \dots, Nk\}$, and where L denotes a set of all links of the graph. The parameter set of a given node N_i is expressed by P_i , wherein $P_i = \{P_{i1}, P_{i2}, P_{i3}, \dots, P_{im}\}$. Furthermore, a routing table allocated to the given node N_i is expressed by R_i , wherein $R_i = \{R_{i1}, R_{i2}, \dots, R_{il}\}$ and wherein R_{in} denotes the branch information of the n -th immediate offspring
10 node of the given node N_i . It is noted that the routing table R_i of a given node i ($i = 1$ to k) and the network topology of the RAN can be imported e.g. from a routing table (or a link state database) of the routing protocol in the IP-based network, e.g. an Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) routing protocol which is a link-state protocol using flooding of link-state information and a least-cost-path algorithm. With
15 OSPF, e.g. a router constructs a complete topological map of the entire system. The router then locally runs the shortest-path algorithm to determine a shortest-path tree to all networks with itself as the route node. The routers' routing table is then obtained from this shortest-path tree. Individual link costs can be configured by the network administrator. Similarly, the network topology information can be
20 obtained from an ISIS routing protocol.

Alternatively, the routing table of a given node and the network topology of the RAN can be learned by running a flooding scheme and a shortest-path-first algorithm individually when the used routing protocol such as the Routing Information Protocol (RIP) does not provide such an information. Due to the fact that the network topology is relatively stable, the overhead of running the flooding scheme
25 and the shortest-path-first algorithm can be considered rather small for this case. Then, the graph $G(N, L)$ can be derived from the learned or imported network topology information.

Based on the network topology, it is then decided which parameters to be included
30 in the parameter sets P_i ($i = 1$ to k) and their data type can be defined via configuration according to specific requirements. The required parameters of the parameter sets P_i may be measured on demand, whenever needed, or may be provided or measured at predetermined intervals.

When a parameter change is detected at a specific network node, this network node becomes the initiating node of the following update procedure:

```

5      If a parameter Pis changes at Node Ni,
        Update Pis in Pi with the new Pis
        For n=1 to l,
          Node Ni sends the updating tuple (Rin Pis Ni-ID) to its nth immediate offspring node
        end
      end

```

Thus, if it is detected at the initiating node that the parameter Pis has changed at a node Ni, the parameter sets Pi are updated according to the new value of the parameter Pis. Then, the initiating node generates for each of its immediate offspring nodes a respective updating information, e.g. an updating tuple, comprising the branch information Rin, the updated parameter value Pis and a node identification Ni-ID of the concerned network node Ni, and sends the respective updating information to all immediate offspring node.

An offspring node receiving such an update information performs the following procedure:

```

20    If a node receives a tuple (Rin Pis Ni-ID) for updating,
        Update the Pis recorded in this node, with the Pis in the coming tuple
        If Rin is not empty,
          partition Rin into the branches of immediate offspring, i.e., Rin={Rin1,...Rinh}
          For g=1 to h, ; 'h' is the total number of the immediate offspring nodes of this node
            Rin=Ring;
            Send the updating tuple (Rin Pis Ni-ID) to the gth immediate offspring node (shown in Rin) of this node
          end
        end
      end

```

According to this algorithm, the offspring node updates the changed parameter Pis in its parameter sets Pi recorded in the offspring node. If the branch information Rin is empty, i.e. this branch does not include any further node, the offspring node only updates its parameter sets Pi. On the other hand, if the branch information Rin is not empty, the offspring nodes partitions or separates the branch information into its branches of immediate offspring and sends a respective updating information with the new (partitioned) branch information to all its immediate offspring nodes.

Thereby, the updating information is transmitted through the network based on the initial branch information defined by the initiating node.

Fig. 3 shows a basic flow diagram indicating the distribution or updating procedure according to the preferred embodiment. In step S101, a parameter change is detected at a specific network node. In response thereto this network node generates a parameter information based on a routing table corresponding to the network location of this network node. The parameter information comprises the branch information obtained from the routing table, the updated parameter, and the identification of the network node at which the parameter has been changed (step S102). Then, the network node distributes the generated parameter information to its offspring nodes in step S103, so that the offspring nodes forward the parameter information based on the received branch information.

Fig. 4 shows a table of link state database indicating the network topology of the radio access network shown in Figs. 1 and 2. In the present example, it is assumed that all links between the network nodes have the same bandwidth "1", wherein a cost parameter is defined by $\text{cost} = 1/\text{bandwidth}$.

The routing table for a specific network node is then obtained on the basis of a spanning tree calculated by the network node on account of the known network topology. The spanning tree can be understood here as a loop-less graph. Thus, the spanning tree is defined by the sending or initiating node or entity instead of e.g. some centralized controller node like RNC or BSC. By using the spanning tree algorithm, routing of data in loops can be prevented. Due to the fact that network nodes know the network topology, they can calculate optimal distribution paths for the network parameter information based on the spanning tree algorithm. In general, a spanning tree is a subset of the original network topology, which has no loops.

Fig. 5 shows a routing table for the network node A in Figs. 1 and 2, which has been obtained from a spanning tree algorithm. The term "in the order" in the last column means the routing order of visited nodes when sending a packet from a source node, i.e. the network node A, to a destination node, i.e. the node indicated in the first column, along a routing path indicated in the second column. For example, if a packet comprising an updated parameter information is transmitted from the network node A to the network node C, it is routed through the link L1 and via the network node B, while two links are used as indicated as by the cost parameter in the third column. Assuming that another network node F would be connected to the network node C, the value of the last column for routing a packet from the

network node A to the network node F would be "BC", as the updated parameter would be routed via the networks nodes B and C.

Fig. 6 shows the spanning tree obtained for the network node A. This spanning tree is used for distributing a packet to another node in the network, wherein the spanning tree shows the shortest routing paths from the network node A to all other nodes. These shortest paths are indicated by the broken arrows in Fig. 6. In the topology shown in Fig. 6, the upper network node A is connected to two immediate offspring nodes, i.e. the network nodes D and B, wherein the branch L3 of the network node D contains only the network node D itself while the branch L1 to the network node B contains another two branches L4 (BE) and L2 (BC).

As an example, it is assumed that a parameter P_a of the network node A has changed. Then, the network node A will send to its immediate offspring nodes D and B the following tuples:

1) to the node D: $(NULL, P_a, A)$,

which indicates that the branch ends at the node D ("NULL") and that the parameter " P_a " has changed at the network node "A";

2) to the node B: $((BC, BE), P_a, A)$,

which indicates that the updating tuple is to be forwarded from the network node B to the offspring nodes C and E (" (BC, BE) "), and that the parameter " P_a " has changed at the network node "A".

Thus, when receiving the updating tuple $(NULL, P_a, A)$, the network node D will only update its own P_a in its set of parameters, and will not route this updating tuple to any other network node. Thereby, the link L6 in Fig. 2 is not used in the distribution scheme.

Furthermore, when receiving the updating tuple $((BC, BE), P_a, A)$, the network node B will first update its own P_a and then send to the network node E a revised tuple $(NULL, P_a, A)$ indicating that the branch ends at the network node E, and to the network node C a revised tuple $(NULL, P_a, A)$ indicating that the branch ends at the network node C. Thereby, multiple transmission through the link L5 in Fig. 2 can be prevented.

In the above example, and using the distribution scheme according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention, one parameter change invokes only four updating messages, while the flooding scheme can invoke twelve messages in the worst case.

- 5 It is noted, that the present invention is not restricted to the above preferred embodiments, but can be used in any network environment where a network parameter information has to be distributed from a specific network node to a plurality of other network nodes. Thus, the preferred embodiments may vary within the scope of the attached claims.

Claims

1. A method of distributing a network parameter information among network nodes (A - E) of a transmission network, said method comprising the steps of:
 - 5 a) determining based on a topology information of said transmission network a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to other nodes;
 - b) detecting a network parameter change in a network node of said transmission network; and
 - 10 c) distributing said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change from said network node to said other nodes in accordance with said spanning tree.
2. A method according to claim 1, wherein said network parameter information is used in a network operation and management procedure in a radio access network.
- 15 3. A method according to claim 2, wherein said network operation and management procedure is an MDC point selection procedure.
4. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said network parameter information relates to a QoS-related parameter.
- 20 5. A method according to claim 4, wherein said network parameter information comprises at least one of a link state, a link utilization, a node utilization, and a macro diversity combining load.
6. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, further comprising the step of deriving said topology information from at least one routing table.
- 25 7. A method according to claim 6, wherein one routing table is provided for each network node.
8. A method according to claim 7, wherein said one routing table provides a branch information for each offspring node of said network node.


9. A method according to claim 8, wherein said branch information indicates branches of the concerned offspring node.
10. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, further comprising the step of deriving said topology information from a link state database of a routing protocol of said transmission network.
11. A method according to any one of claims 1 to 9, further comprising the step of obtaining said topology information by running a flooding scheme and a shortest-path-first algorithm.
12. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, further comprising the step of deciding on those parameters to be included in said network parameter information based on said topology information.
13. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said network parameter information comprises an updating information sent to each offspring node.
14. A method according to claim 13, wherein said updating information comprises a branch information, a parameter update information and a node identification of the network node at which said network parameter change has occurred.
15. A method according to claim 13 or 14, further comprising the step of distributing a received updating information from an offspring node of said network node to an offspring node of said offspring node based on said branch information.
16. A method according to any one of claims 13 to 15, further comprising the step of updating a parameter information stored at said offspring node using said updating information.
17. A method according to claim 1, wherein said transmission network is a radio access network based on internet protocol technology.
18. A network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a transmission network, said network node being ar-

5 ranged to detect a change in a network parameter related to said network node, and to distribute said network parameter information indicating said network parameter change towards said other network nodes in response to said detection and in accordance with a spanning tree of routing paths corresponding to the shortest paths from said network node to said other nodes.



19. A network node according to claim 18, wherein said spanning tree is derived from a topology information of said transmission network.
- 10 20. A network node according to claim 19, wherein said network is arranged to decide on those parameters to be included in said network parameter information based on said topology information.
21. A network node according to any one of claims 18 to 20, wherein said network node is arranged to distribute said network parameter information to its offspring nodes.
- 15 22. A network node according to any one of claims 18 to 21, wherein said network node is a base station device of a radio access network.
- 20 23. A network node for distributing a network parameter information to other network nodes of a transmission network, said network node being arranged to receive a network parameter information from an upper node, to update a stored parameter information according to said received network parameter information, and to distribute said network parameter information to its offspring network nodes based on a branch information included in said network parameter information, said branch information being derived from a spanning tree routing topology.
- 25 24. A network node according to claim 23, wherein said network node is arranged to update said branch information in said network parameter information before distributing said network parameter information to said other nodes.
- 30 25. A network node according to claim 24, wherein said other nodes are offspring nodes of said network node.

26. A network node according to any one of claims 23 to 25, wherein said network node is a base station device of a radio access network.

1 / 3

**Fig. 1**

2 / 3


**Fig. 2****Fig. 3**

3 / 3

From	To	Link	Cost
A	B	L1	1
A	D	L3	1
B	A	L1	1
B	C	L2	1
B	E	L4	1
C	B	L2	1
C	E	L5	1
D	A	L3	1
D	E	L6	1
E	B	L4	1
E	C	L5	1
E	D	L6	1

Fig. 4

From A to	Next Hop Port	Metric/cost	Via Node(s) in the order
A	Local net	0	A
B	L1	1	B
D	L3	1	D
C	L1	2	B
E	L1	2	B

Fig. 5**Fig. 6**

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/IB 02/01605

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 H04L12/56

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 H04L H04Q

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC, COMPENDEX, IBM-TDB

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	CIDON I ET AL: "CONTROL MECHANISMS FOR HIGH SPEED NETWORKS" INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON COMMUNICATIONS. INCLUDING SUPERCOMM TECHNICAL SESSIONS. ATLANTA, APR. 15 - 19, 1990, NEW YORK, IEEE, US, vol. 2, 15 April 1990 (1990-04-15), pages 259-263, XP000146078	1, 4-7, 10-13, 18-21
Y	page 259 page 261, right-hand column -page 263, left-hand column ---	2, 3, 14-17, 22-26
	-/--	

Y Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

^a Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *G* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

16 January 2003

Date of mailing of the international search report

24/01/2003

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Peeters, D

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/IB/02/01605

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	WO 00 70782 A (MOTOROLA INC) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) page 1, line 1 -page 2, line 10 page 5, line 10 - line 27; figure 1 page 6, line 12 - line 26 page 9, line 34 -page 10, line 28 ---	2,3,17, 22,26
Y	YUM T-S P ET AL: "Multicast source routing in packet-switched networks" NETWORKING IN THE NINETIES. BAL HARBOUR, APR. 7 - 11, 1991, PROCEEDINGS OF THE ANNUAL JOINT CONFERENCE OF THE COMPUTER AND COMMUNICATIONS SOCIETIES. (INFOCOM), NEW YORK, IEEE, US, vol. 2 CONF. 10, 7 April 1991 (1991-04-07), pages 1284-1288, XP010042520 ISBN: 0-87942-694-2 the whole document -----	14-16, 23-25

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

PCT/IB 02/01605

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0070782	A	23-11-2000	US 6226283 B1	01-05-2001
			EP 1179227 A1	13-02-2002
			WO 0070782 A1	23-11-2000

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS

☒ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

☒ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.